

UNIMODULAR L_∞ -ALGEBRAS

JOHAN GRANÅKER

ABSTRACT. We give a new short proof that the wheeled operad of unimodular Lie algebras is Koszul and use this to explicitly construct its minimal resolution. A representation of this resolution in a finite dimensional vector space V we call a unimodular L_∞ -algebra. Such a structure corresponds to a homological vector field on V together with an invariant measure. We present explicit formulae for homotopy transferred structures, define the deformation complex and give a cohomological obstruction to the extension of an arbitrary structure of finite dimensional L_∞ -algebra to a structure of unimodular L_∞ -algebra.

1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of operads and props is an effective tool for constructing homotopy theories of many algebraic structures. Given a particular structure (e.g. associative algebras, Lie algebras, bialgebras), the first step in the construction would be to find an operad or prop $\mathcal{P}$ whose representations are in one-to-one correspondence with all possible structures of this type. The second step would then be to construct a minimal resolution $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ of $\mathcal{P}$. This is often difficult to carry through unless $\mathcal{P}$ is Koszul, in which case $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ is the cobar construction on the Koszul dual of $\mathcal{P}$. The philosophy is that a generic representation of $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ is the correct up-to-homotopy version of the structure one began with. This program has successfully been applied to many types of algebraic structures, for example, there is an operad $\mathcal{Lie}$ whose representations are precisely Lie algebras. Moreover, $\mathcal{Lie}$ is Koszul so it is possible to compute its minimal resolution $\mathcal{Lie}_\infty$ explicitly. When considering representations of $\mathcal{Lie}_\infty$ one gets L_∞ -algebras, a notion which by now has a well-established place in mathematics and mathematical physics. The aim of this paper is to construct the homotopy theory of *unimodular* Lie algebras according to the program just described. The unimodularity condition implies that this can not be done within the category of ordinary operads or props. We show, however, that in the category of wheeled operads, introduced in [Mer07], unimodularity can be appropriately handled.

The geometric interpretation of an L_∞ -algebra structure on a vector space V is as a homological vector field Q in a formal neighbourhood of 0 in V which vanishes at 0. We show that, with this perspective, a structure of unimodular L_∞ -algebra is equivalent to a pair (Q, ρ_f) where ρ_f is a Q -invariant measure. Such pairs have recently been obtained by P. Mněv in [Mnë06] via Batalin-Vilkovisky quantization of a certain extended BF-theory. Our results imply that the Feynman integrals in [Mnë06] assemble precisely into a representation of a *minimal* resolution of the wheeled operad of unimodular Lie algebras, and hence indeed have homotopy theoretical meaning.

A few words on notation and conventions. Our ground field is denoted $\mathbb{k}$ and is of characteristic zero. The set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ is denoted $[n]$ and Σ_n is the group of bijections of $[n]$. The trivial representation of Σ_n is denoted $\mathbb{1}_n$, while the sign representation is denoted sgn_n . For a permutation σ , $\text{sgn } \sigma$, and also $(-1)^\sigma$, denote the sign of the permutation. For $[p_1 + \dots + p_n] = I_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup I_n$, with $|I_j| = \{i_{j,1} < \dots < i_{j,p_j}\}$,

$$\sigma_{I_1, \dots, I_n} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & p_1 & p_1 + 1 & \dots & p_1 + p_2 & \dots & p_1 + \dots + p_n \\ i_{1,1} & \dots & i_{1,p_1} & i_{2,1} & \dots & i_{2,p_2} & \dots & i_{n,p_n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The abbreviation dg stands for differential graded and all differentials are of degree +1. The term ‘corolla’ refers to a graph with a single vertex.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the theory of wheeled properads and operads, the bar and cobar constructions and Koszulness. In Section 3 we introduce the wheeled operad of unimodular Lie algebras $\mathcal{ULie}$ and show that it is Koszul. This gives us explicitly its minimal resolution $\mathcal{ULie}_\infty$ in terms of generators and differential. In Section 4 we give explicit formulae for the transferred structure of unimodular L_∞ -algebra. In Section 5 we interpret a structure of unimodular L_∞ -algebra as a homological vector field together with an invariant measure. In Section 6 we define the deformation complex of a unimodular L_∞ -algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. It is a dg Lie algebra and we show that its Maurer-Cartan elements correspond deformations of $\mathfrak{g}$. In Section 7 we define the characteristic class of an L_∞ -algebra and show that we can extend the structure to a unimodular L_∞ -algebra if and only if the characteristic class vanishes. Finally, for the sake of completeness, we address the topic of characteristic classes of unimodular associative algebras in an Appendix.

2. RECOLLECTION OF THE THEORY OF WHEELED OPERADS

2.1. Decorated graphs and wheeled operads.

Wheeled operads are particular cases of wheeled properads. We find it easier to define this more general notion first and then focus on the special case at hand. For reference on properads and their Koszulness, see [Val07]. For their wheeled extensions, see [MMS07].

We denote with $\mathfrak{G}_c$ the class of directed, connected, labeled graphs and by $\mathfrak{G}_c(m, n)$ the subclass of graphs with m outgoing, and n ingoing, legs. By ‘labeled’ we mean that $G \in \mathfrak{G}_c(m, n)$ is equipped with bijections $out(G) \rightarrow [m]$ and $in(G) \rightarrow [n]$, where $out(G)$ and $in(G)$ denote the set of outgoing respectively ingoing legs. We draw graphs directed from top to bottom.

Example.

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 2 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \end{array} \in \mathfrak{G}_c(1, 2) \text{ and } \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 2 \end{array} \in \mathfrak{G}_c(2, 1).$$

For a graph $G \in \mathfrak{G}_c$, we denote its set of vertices with $\mathbf{v}(G)$. Given a vertex v , its set of outgoing legs and edges is denoted $out(v)$ and its set of ingoing legs and edges is denoted $in(v)$. The biarity of a vertex v is $(|out(v)|, |in(v)|)$. We have $\mathbf{v}(G) = \mathbf{v}_c(G) \sqcup \mathbf{v}_{nc}(G)$, where $\mathbf{v}_c(G)$ is the set of cyclic vertices, i.e. those which lie on a closed directed path, and $\mathbf{v}_{nc}(G)$ is the set of non-cyclic vertices. The edges of G is denoted $\mathbf{e}(G)$ and, as for vertices, $\mathbf{e}(G) = \mathbf{e}_c(G) \sqcup \mathbf{e}_{nc}(G)$.

Recall that a dg Σ -bimodule $\mathcal{E}$ is a collection $\{\mathcal{E}(m, n)\}$, for $m, n \geq 0$, of dg vector spaces with compatible left Σ_m - and right Σ_n -actions.

Given a k -vertex graph G and a Σ -bimodule $\mathcal{E}$, let $v \in \mathbf{v}(G)$ with $|out(v)| = p$ and $|in(v)| = q$. The vector space $\mathbb{k}[\text{Bij}([p], out(v))]$ spanned by all bijections $[p] \rightarrow out(v)$ is naturally a right Σ_p -module. Like-wise $\mathbb{k}[\text{Bij}(in(v), [q])]$ is naturally a left Σ_q -module. We define the space of *decorations of the vertex v by $\mathcal{E}$* as

$$\mathcal{E}(out(v), in(v)) = \mathbb{k}[\text{Bij}([p], out(v))] \otimes_{\Sigma_p} \mathcal{E}(p, q) \otimes_{\Sigma_q} \mathbb{k}[\text{Bij}(in(v), [q])].$$

The space of *decorations of the graph G by $\mathcal{E}$* is defined as

$$G\langle \mathcal{E} \rangle = \left(\bigotimes_{v \in \mathbf{v}(G)} \mathcal{E}(out(v), in(v)) \right)_{\text{Aut } G},$$

where

$$\bigotimes_{v \in \mathbf{v}(G)} \mathcal{E}(\text{out}(v), \text{in}(v)) = \left(\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \text{Bij}([k], \mathbf{v}(G))} \bigotimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{E}(\text{out}(\gamma(i)), \text{in}(\gamma(i))) \right)_{\Sigma_k}$$

is the unordered tensor product and $\text{Aut } G$ is the group of automorphisms of G which fix legs. For $G \in \mathfrak{G}_c(m, n)$, relabeling gives $G\langle \mathcal{E} \rangle$ a left Σ_m - and a right Σ_n -action. An element of $G\langle \mathcal{E} \rangle$, for a k -vertex graph G is essentially a pair $(G, [e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_k])$ of the graph and an equivalence class of a tensor product of elements of $\mathcal{E}$.

For any connected subgraph $H \subset G$, we denote with G/H the graph in which all vertices and edges of H has been contracted into a single vertex. Given a Σ -bimodule $\mathcal{E}$, let $\{\mu_G : G\langle \mathcal{E} \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\}_{G \in \mathfrak{G}_c}$ be a collection of equivariant linear maps. For a connected H there is an induced map $\mu_H^G : G\langle \mathcal{E} \rangle \rightarrow G/H\langle \mathcal{E} \rangle$, which equals μ_H on the vertices of H and the identity elsewhere.

Definition. A *wheeled properad* is a Σ -bimodule $\mathcal{P}$ together with a collection of equivariant linear maps $\{\mu_G : G\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\}_{G \in \mathfrak{G}_c}$ such that, for any connected subgraph $H \subset G$, $\mu_G = \mu_{G/H} \circ \mu_H^G$. A *wheeled operad* is a wheeled properad $\mathcal{P}$ such that if $m \geq 2$, then $\mathcal{P}(m, n) = 0$.

From the equations satisfied by the collection $\{\mu_G\}$ it follows that it is determined by the subcollection of those maps μ_G for which G is either a two vertex graph without directed cycles or a corolla with a loop.

Given a wheeled operad $\mathcal{P}$ its *operadic part*, $\mathcal{P}_o$, is defined by

$$\mathcal{P}_o(n) = \mathcal{P}(1, n).$$

It is clear that the Σ -module $\{\mathcal{P}_o(n)\}$ is an ordinary operad. The *wheeled part* of $\mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{P}_w$, is defined by

$$\mathcal{P}_w(n) = \mathcal{P}(0, n)$$

and is, obviously, a right $\mathcal{P}_o$ -module.

The inclusion of genus zero graphs into $\mathfrak{G}_c$ induces a forgetful functor from wheeled properads to ordinary properads. This functor has an adjoint $\mathcal{P} \mapsto \mathcal{P}^\circ$, called *wheelification*. The wheelification of an ordinary operad is a wheeled operad.

Dually, consider a dg Σ -bimodule $\mathcal{E}$ and a collection of equivariant linear maps $\{\Delta_G : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow G\langle \mathcal{E} \rangle\}_{G \in \mathfrak{G}_c}$. For any connected subgraph $H \subset G$ there is an induced map $\Delta_H^G : G/H\langle \mathcal{E} \rangle \rightarrow G\langle \mathcal{E} \rangle$, which equals Δ_H on the vertex of G/H corresponding to H and the identity elsewhere.

Definition. A *wheeled coproperad* is a Σ -bimodule $\mathcal{C}$ together with a collection of linear maps $\{\Delta_G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow G\langle \mathcal{C} \rangle\}_{G \in \mathfrak{G}_c}$ such that, for any connected subgraph $H \subset G$, $\Delta_G = \Delta_H^G \circ \Delta_{G/H}$. A *wheeled cooperad* is a wheeled coproperad $\mathcal{C}$ such that if $m \geq 2$, then $\mathcal{P}(m, n) = 0$.

Again, $\{\Delta_G\}$ is determined by the subcollections of maps Δ_G for which G is either a two vertex graph without directed cycles or a corolla with a loop.

Example. Given a dg Σ -bimodule $\mathcal{E}$, the *free wheeled properad* on $\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{F}^\circ(\mathcal{E})$, has as underlying dg Σ -bimodule

$$\mathcal{F}^\circ(m, n) = \bigoplus_{G \in \mathfrak{G}_c(m, n)} G\langle \mathcal{E} \rangle,$$

with differential $\partial_{\mathcal{E}}$ induced by that of $\mathcal{E}$. An element of $G\langle \mathcal{F}^\circ(\mathcal{E}) \rangle$ is a graph with vertices decorated by graphs decorated by $\mathcal{E}$. The structure of wheeled properad on $\mathcal{F}^\circ(\mathcal{E})$ forgets this double decoration. Note that $\mathfrak{G}_c$ contains the two exceptional graphs $\downarrow$ and $\circlearrowleft$ without vertices.

The *cofree wheeled coproperad* on $\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{F}_c^\circ(\mathcal{E})$, has the same underlying dg Σ -bimodule as $\mathcal{F}^\circ(\mathcal{E})$. The morphism Δ_G on a corolla decorated by some graph G' is the sum of decorations of G by connected subgraphs of G' such that when forgetting the double decoration one gets G' .

Note that if $m \geq 2$ implies $\mathcal{E}(m, n) = 0$, then $\mathcal{F}^\circ(\mathcal{E})$ is a wheeled operad and $\mathcal{F}_c^\circ(\mathcal{E})$ is a wheeled cooperad.

Example. Given a finite dimensional dg vector space (V, d) , let $\mathcal{E}nd_V^\circ$ be defined by

$$\mathcal{E}nd_V^\circ(m, n) = \begin{cases} \text{Hom}(V^{\otimes n}, \mathbb{k}) & m = 0, \\ \text{Hom}(V^{\otimes n}, V) & m = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is a dg Σ -bimodule with the usual grading, differential and Σ -action. For any $f : V^{\otimes n+1} \rightarrow V$ and $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ we may fix elements $x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{n+1} \in V$ and get

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, -, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}) : V \rightarrow V.$$

Taking the trace of this endomorphism gives us a map $V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$. This, together with usual composition of homomorphisms, gives $\mathcal{E}nd_V^\circ$ the structure of dg wheeled operad. It is called the *wheeled endomorphism operad* of V .

Definition. A *representation* of a dg wheeled operad $\mathcal{P}$ in a finite dimensional dg vector space V is a morphism of dg wheeled operads $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_V^\circ$. We say that a representation gives V the structure of a $\mathcal{P}$ -algebra.

For a graded vector space $V = \bigoplus_i V^i$, $V[j] = \bigoplus_i V[j]^i$ denotes the *shifted* vector space with $V[j]^i = V^{j+i}$. On the category of wheeled operads there is a *parity change functor*, $\mathcal{P} \mapsto \Pi\mathcal{P}$, such that representations of $\mathcal{P}$ in a vector space V are in one-to-one correspondence with representations of $\Pi\mathcal{P}$ in $V[1]$. Explicitly,

$$\Pi\mathcal{P}(m, n) = \text{sgn}_m \otimes \mathcal{P}(m, n) \otimes \text{sgn}_n[m - n],$$

so canonically $\Pi\mathcal{E}nd_V^\circ = \mathcal{E}nd_{V[1]}^\circ$ by sending $f : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V^{\otimes m}$ to

$$\underbrace{(s^{-1} \otimes \dots \otimes s^{-1})}_m f \underbrace{(s \otimes \dots \otimes s)}_n : V[1]^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V[1]^{\otimes m}.$$

Here s denotes the degree 1 map $V[1] \rightarrow V$ identifying $V[1]^i$ with V^{i+1} .

2.2. Bar and cobar construction for wheeled operads.

Consider the wheeled operad

$$I^\circ(m, n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{k} & \text{if } (m, n) = (0, 0) \text{ or } (m, n) = (1, 1), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Definition. An *augmentation* of a wheeled operad $\mathcal{P}$ is a morphism $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow I^\circ$. An *augmented wheeled operad* is a wheeled operad together with an augmentation. Given an augmented wheeled operad $\mathcal{P}$, the kernel of the augmentation is denoted $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ and is called the *augmentation ideal*.

Definition. The *wheeled suspension* of a dg Σ -bimodule $\mathcal{E}$, denoted $\mathbf{w}\mathcal{E}$, is defined as

$$\mathbf{w}\mathcal{E}(m, n) = \mathcal{E}(m, n)[2m - n] \otimes \text{sgn}_n$$

and the *wheeled desuspension*, $\mathbf{w}^{-1}\mathcal{E}$, as

$$\mathbf{w}^{-1}\mathcal{E}(m, n) = \mathcal{E}(m, n)[n - 2m] \otimes \text{sgn}_n.$$

Given a dg wheeled properad $\mathcal{P}$, the collection of maps μ_G for which G is either a two vertex graph without directed cycles or a corolla with a loop defines a morphism $\mu : \mathcal{F}^\circ(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$. This μ induces a degree one morphism $\mathcal{F}_c^\circ(\mathbf{w}\bar{\mathcal{P}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{w}\bar{\mathcal{P}}$, which in turn determines a degree one coderivation ∂_μ of $\mathcal{F}_c^\circ(\mathbf{w}\bar{\mathcal{P}})$. One can check that the equations satisfied by the μ_G are equivalent with ∂_μ being a codifferential.

Definition. The *wheeled bar construction* on a dg wheeled properad $\mathcal{P}$ is defined as

$$(B^\circ(\mathcal{P}), \partial_B) = (\mathcal{F}_c^\circ(\mathbf{w}\bar{\mathcal{P}}), \partial_{\mathcal{P}} + \partial_\mu).$$

Dually, given a dg wheeled coproperad $\mathcal{C}$, the collection Δ_G for which G is either a two vertex graph without directed cycles or a corolla with a loop defines a morphism $\Delta : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_c^\circ(\mathcal{C})$. This induces a degree one morphism $\mathbf{w}^{-1}\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\circ(\mathbf{w}^{-1}\mathcal{C})$, which determines a degree one derivation ∂_Δ of $\mathcal{F}^\circ(\mathbf{w}^{-1}\mathcal{C})$. One checks that the equations satisfied by the Δ_G are equivalent with ∂_Δ being a differential.

Definition. The *wheeled cobar construction* on a dg wheeled coproperad $\mathcal{C}$ is defined as

$$(\Omega^\circ(\mathcal{C}), \partial_\Omega) = (\mathcal{F}^\circ(\mathbf{w}^{-1}\bar{\mathcal{C}}), \partial_{\mathcal{C}} + \partial_\Delta).$$

2.3. Quadratic wheeled operads and wheeled Koszulness.

Definition. A wheeled operad $\mathcal{P}$ is *quadratic* if it has a presentation

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{F}^\circ(\mathcal{E})/(R),$$

where $\mathcal{E}$ is non-zero only for $(m, n) = (1, 2)$ and R is a subspace of $\mathcal{F}^\circ(\mathcal{E})(1, 3) \oplus \mathcal{F}^\circ(\mathcal{E})(0, 1)$.

Note that any quadratic wheeled operad is naturally augmented by projection onto the part spanned by the exceptional graphs.

Example. Let $\mathcal{E}(1, 2) = \mathbb{1}_2$ and denote its generator by



Then the wheelification of the operad $\mathcal{C}om$ of commutative associative algebras has the presentation

$$\mathcal{C}om^\circ = \frac{\mathcal{F}^\circ \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 2 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} \end{array} \right)}{\left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 2 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 3 \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} 2 \quad 3 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 1 \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 3 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} 3 \quad 2 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 1 \end{array} \right)}.$$

Definition. The *Čech dual* of a Σ -bimodule $\mathcal{E}$, denoted $\check{\mathcal{E}}$, is defined by

$$\check{\mathcal{E}}(m, n) = \text{sgn}_m \otimes \mathcal{E}(m, n)^* \otimes \text{sgn}_n.$$

Definition. The *wheeled Koszul dual operad* of the quadratic wheeled operad $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{F}^\circ(\mathcal{E})/(R)$, is defined as

$$\mathcal{P}^! = \mathcal{F}^\circ(\check{\mathcal{E}})/(R^\perp).$$

Here $R^\perp$ is the kernel of the composition

$$\mathcal{F}^\circ(\check{\mathcal{E}})(1, 3) \oplus \mathcal{F}^\circ(\check{\mathcal{E}})(0, 1) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{F}^\circ(\mathcal{E})(1, 3) \oplus \mathcal{F}^\circ(\mathcal{E})(0, 1))^* \rightarrow R^*.$$

The operadic part of the wheeled Koszul dual operad of a wheeled operad $\mathcal{P}$ is the ordinary Koszul dual operad of the operadic part of $\mathcal{P}$, i.e.

$$(\mathcal{P}^!)_o = (\mathcal{P}_o)^!.$$

The wheeled part equals the quotient of the wheeled part of the wheeled completion of $\mathcal{P}_o^!$ by the ideal generated by $R_w^\perp$,

$$(\mathcal{P}^!)_w = ((\mathcal{P}_o^!)^\circ)_w / (R_w^\perp).$$

Definition. The *wheeled Koszul dual cooperad* of the quadratic wheeled operad $\mathcal{P}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{P}^i = H^0(B^\circ(\mathcal{P}), \partial_B).$$

One can show that $\mathcal{P}^i$ is a wheeled subcooperad of $B^\odot(\mathcal{P})$ and that $\mathcal{P}^! = (\mathcal{P}^i)^*$.

Definition. A quadratic wheeled operad $\mathcal{P}$ is *wheeled Koszul* if the inclusion

$$(\mathcal{P}^i, 0) \longrightarrow (B^\odot(\mathcal{P}), \partial_B)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism, i.e. induces an isomorphism on cohomology.

The wheeled operad $\mathcal{P}$ is wheeled Koszul if and only if $\mathcal{P}^!$ is wheeled Koszul. Moreover, $\mathcal{P}$ is wheeled Koszul if and only if the natural projection

$$(\Omega^\odot(\mathcal{P}^i), \partial_\Omega) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{P}, 0)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Hence, if $\mathcal{P}$ is Koszul, then $(\Omega^\odot(\mathcal{P}^i), \partial_\Omega)$ is a free minimal resolution of $\mathcal{P}$. In this case we write $\mathcal{P}_\infty = (\Omega^\odot(\mathcal{P}^i), \partial_\Omega)$.

Definition. An ordinary Koszul operad $\mathcal{P}$ is *stably Koszul* if the wheelification of the quasi-isomorphism $(\Omega(\mathcal{P}^i), \partial_\Omega) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{P}, 0)$ is still a quasi-isomorphism.

In [Mer07] it is shown that $\mathcal{L}ie$ is stably Koszul. We shall use this fact in the proof of wheeled Koszulness for the wheeled operad of unimodular Lie algebras, $\mathcal{UL}ie$.

3. UNIMODULAR LIE ALGEBRAS

Any Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -])$ is endowed with a canonical representation in itself, the *adjoint representation* $\text{ad} : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \text{End}(\mathfrak{g})$, defined by $\text{ad}(x)(y) = [x, y]$. A finite dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is *unimodular* if $\text{tr}(\text{ad } x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. Important examples of unimodular Lie algebras include semi-simple Lie algebras. If we choose a basis $\{e_\alpha\}$ for $\mathfrak{g}$, the Lie algebra structure is determined by the structure constants $L_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$ defined by

$$[e_\alpha, e_\beta] = L_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma e_\gamma.$$

Unimodularity is then expressed as $L_{\alpha\beta}^\beta = 0$ for all α .

Unimodular Lie algebras are representations of a quadratic wheeled operad, $\mathcal{UL}ie = \mathcal{F}^\odot(\mathcal{E})/(R)$, where $\mathcal{E}(1, 2) = \text{sgn}_2$ and R is spanned by the Jacobi relation and the trace condition. We denote the generator of $\mathcal{E}(1, 2)$ by



so that

$$\mathcal{UL}ie = \frac{\mathcal{F}^\odot \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 2 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} \end{array} \right)}{\left(\begin{array}{c} 2 \quad 3 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad \text{---} \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 3 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \quad \text{---} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 2 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 3 \quad \text{---} \end{array}, \text{trace} \right)}.$$

We will show that $\mathcal{UL}ie$ is wheeled Koszul by determining $(\Omega^\odot(\mathcal{UL}ie^i), \partial)$ and showing that its cohomology equals $\mathcal{UL}ie$. Since $\mathcal{UL}ie^i = (\mathcal{UL}ie^!)^*$, our first step will be to determine $\mathcal{UL}ie^!$.

Lemma 1. *The wheeled Koszul dual operad of $\mathcal{UL}ie$ is $\text{Com}^\odot$.*

Proof. We have $\mathcal{UL}ie_o = \mathcal{L}ie$ so that $(\mathcal{UL}ie^!)_o = \mathcal{L}ie^! = \text{Com}$. Next, since R_w spans all of $\mathcal{F}^\odot(\mathcal{E})(0, 1)$, $R_w^\perp = 0$ and $(\mathcal{UL}ie^!)_w = \text{Com}_w^\odot$. Hence, $\mathcal{UL}ie^! = \text{Com}^\odot$. $\square$

Since $\mathcal{ULie}_o = \mathcal{Lie}$ and $\mathcal{Lie}$ is Koszul, we have that $\Omega^\odot(\mathcal{ULie}^i)_o = \mathcal{Lie}_\infty$. Hence, only the wheeled part of $\Omega^\odot(\mathcal{ULie}^i)$ will concern us. Since $\mathcal{Com}_w^\odot(n) = \mathbb{1}_n$ for $n \geq 1$, $\Omega^\odot(\mathcal{ULie}^i)_w$ has a single skew-symmetric generator in each arity $n \geq 1$. We denote it

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \begin{array}{c} n \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{array}.$$

Considering the cooperad structure in $(\mathcal{Com}^\odot)^*$, the differential ∂ applied to this generator has terms of the form

$$\begin{array}{c} J \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ I \downarrow \end{array} \quad \text{where } I \sqcup J = [n] \text{ and } 0 \leq |I| \leq n-2, \text{ and } \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \begin{array}{c} n \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{array}.$$

Hence, to determine $(\Omega^\odot(\mathcal{ULie}^i), \partial)$, we need to find signs in front of these terms such that $\partial^2 = 0$.

Proposition 1. $(\Omega^\odot(\mathcal{ULie}^i), \partial)$ is free on generators

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \begin{array}{c} n \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right\}_{n=2}^\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \begin{array}{c} n \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right\}_{n=1}^\infty,$$

skew-symmetric and of degrees $2-n$ and $-n$ respectively. The differential is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \begin{array}{c} n \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} &= \sum_{\substack{I \sqcup J = [n] \\ 1 \leq |I| \leq n-2}} (-1)^{\sigma_{I,J} + |I||J|} \begin{array}{c} J \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ I \downarrow \end{array}, \\ \partial \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \begin{array}{c} n \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} &= \sum_{\substack{I \sqcup J = [n] \\ 0 \leq |I| \leq n-2}} (-1)^{\sigma_{I,J} + |I||J|} \begin{array}{c} J \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ I \downarrow \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \begin{array}{c} n \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{array}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We must show that $\partial^2 = 0$. Since $\Omega^\odot(\mathcal{ULie}^i)_o = \mathcal{Lie}_\infty$, only the generators of the second type concern us. Consider first the sum

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{I' \sqcup J' = [n+1]} (-1)^{\sigma_{I',J'} + |I'||J'|} \begin{array}{c} J' \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ I' \downarrow \end{array} &= \sum_{\substack{I' \sqcup J' = [n+1] \\ n+1 \in I'}} (-1)^{\sigma_{I',J'} + |I'||J'|} \begin{array}{c} J' \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ I' \downarrow \end{array} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{I' \sqcup J' = [n+1] \\ n+1 \in J'}} (-1)^{\sigma_{I',J'} + |I'||J'|} \begin{array}{c} J' \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ I' \downarrow \end{array}. \end{aligned}$$

If $n+1 \in I'$ we let $I = I' \setminus \{n+1\}$, $J = J'$ and note that $I \sqcup J = [n]$ is such that $(-1)^{\sigma_{I',J'}} = (-1)^{\sigma_{I,J} + |I||J|}$. If instead $n+1 \in J'$ we let $I = I'$, $J = J' \setminus \{n+1\}$ and note that $I \sqcup J = [n]$ is such that $(-1)^{\sigma_{I',J'}} = (-1)^{\sigma_{I,J}}$. Hence, the above sum equals

$$\sum_{I \sqcup J = [n]} (-1)^{\sigma_{I,J} + |I||J|+1} \begin{array}{c} J \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ I \downarrow \end{array}^{n+1} + (-1)^{\sigma_{I,J} + |I||J|+|I|} \begin{array}{c} J \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ I \downarrow \end{array}^{n+1}.$$

This gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \text{ (diagram with } I \text{ and } J \text{)} &= \sum_{P \sqcup Q = I} (-1)^{\sigma_{P,Q} + |P||Q| + 1} \text{ (diagram with } P \text{ and } Q \text{)} + (-1)^{\sigma_{P,Q} + |P||Q| + |P|} \text{ (diagram with } P \text{ and } Q \text{)} \\ &+ \text{ (diagram with } I \text{ and } J \text{)} + (-1)^{|I|+1} \sum_{P \sqcup Q = J} (-1)^{\sigma_{P,Q} + |P||Q|} \text{ (diagram with } I \text{ and } P \text{ and } Q \text{)}. \end{aligned}$$

With the same reasoning, considering the sum

$$\sum_{I' \sqcup J' = [n+1]} (-1)^{\sigma_{I',J'} + |I'||J'|} \text{ (diagram with } I' \text{ and } J' \text{)},$$

we get that

$$\partial \text{ (diagram with } 1 \text{ and } n \text{)} = \sum_{I \sqcup J = [n]} (-1)^{\sigma_{I,J} + |I||J| + 1} \text{ (diagram with } I \text{ and } J \text{)} + (-1)^{\sigma_{I,J} + |I||J| + |I|} \text{ (diagram with } I \text{ and } J \text{)}.$$

However, considering $I' = J$ and $J' = I$, since

$$(-1)^{\sigma_{I',J'}} = (-1)^{\sigma_{J,I}} = (-1)^{|I||J| + \sigma_{I,J}},$$

we have

$$(-1)^{\sigma_{I',J'} + |I'||J'| + |I'|} \text{ (diagram with } I' \text{ and } J' \text{)} = (-1)^{\sigma_{I,J} + |J|} \text{ (diagram with } I \text{ and } J \text{)} = (-1)^{\sigma_{I,J} + |I||J| + |I| + 1} \text{ (diagram with } I \text{ and } J \text{)},$$

and the terms cancel pair-wise so that

$$\partial \text{ (diagram with } 1 \text{ and } n \text{)} = \sum_{I \sqcup J = [n]} (-1)^{\sigma_{I,J} + |I||J| + 1} \text{ (diagram with } I \text{ and } J \text{)}.$$

What remains to show is the vanishing of

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{I \sqcup J = [n]} \sum_{P \sqcup Q = I} (-1)^{\sigma_{I,J} + \sigma_{P,Q} + |I||J| + |P||Q| + 1} \text{ (diagram with } P \text{ and } Q \text{)} \\ &+ \sum_{I \sqcup J = [n]} \sum_{P \sqcup Q = I} (-1)^{\sigma_{I,J} + \sigma_{P,Q} + |I||J| + |P||Q| + |P|} \text{ (diagram with } P \text{ and } Q \text{)} \\ &+ \sum_{I \sqcup J = [n]} \sum_{P \sqcup Q = J} (-1)^{\sigma_{I,J} + \sigma_{P,Q} + |I||J| + |I| + |P||Q| + 1} \text{ (diagram with } I \text{ and } P \text{ and } Q \text{)}. \end{aligned}$$

To see that the first sum in this expression equals zero, let $I' = P \sqcup J$, $J' = Q$, $P' = P$ and $Q' = J$, so that $I' = P \sqcup J$. We get

$$(-1)^{\sigma_{I',J'} + \sigma_{P',Q'}} = (-1)^{\sigma_{I',Q} + \sigma_{P,J}} = (-1)^{\sigma_{P,J,Q}} = (-1)^{|Q||J| + \sigma_{P,Q,J}} = (-1)^{|Q||J| + \sigma_{I,J} + \sigma_{P,Q}}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{\sigma_{I',J'} + \sigma_{P',Q'} + |I'||J'| + |P'||Q'| + 1} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1: A tree with root } P' \text{ and children } Q' \text{ and } J'. \end{array} = (-1)^{\sigma_{I,J} + \sigma_{P,Q} + |Q||J| + |I'||Q| + |P||J| + 1} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 2: A tree with root } P \text{ and children } J \text{ and } Q. \end{array} \\ & = (-1)^{|Q||J| + \sigma_{I,J} + \sigma_{P,Q} + |I'||Q| + |P||J|} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3: A tree with root } P \text{ and children } Q \text{ and } J. \end{array} = (-1)^{\sigma_{I,J} + \sigma_{P,Q} + |I'||Q| + |P||J|} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 4: A tree with root } P \text{ and children } Q \text{ and } J. \end{array} \\ & = (-1)^{\sigma_{I,J} + \sigma_{P,Q} + |P||Q| + |J||Q| + |P||J|} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 5: A tree with root } P \text{ and children } Q \text{ and } J. \end{array} = (-1)^{\sigma_{I,J} + \sigma_{P,Q} + |P||Q| + |I||J|} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 6: A tree with root } P \text{ and children } Q \text{ and } J. \end{array}, \end{aligned}$$

so that the terms cancel pair-wise. Next, in the fourth sum, consider $I' = P$, $J' = Q \sqcup J$, $P' = Q$ and $Q' = J$. We have

$$(-1)^{\sigma_{I',J'} + \sigma_{P',Q'}} = (-1)^{\sigma_{P,J'} + \sigma_{Q,J}} = (-1)^{\sigma_{P,Q,J}} = (-1)^{\sigma_{I,J} + \sigma_{P,Q}},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{\sigma_{I',J'} + \sigma_{P',Q'} + |I'||J'| + |I'| + |P'||Q'| + 1} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 7: A tree with root } I' \text{ and children } P' \text{ and } Q'. \end{array} = (-1)^{\sigma_{I,J} + \sigma_{P,Q} + |P|(|Q| + |J|) + |P| + |Q||J| + 1} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 8: A tree with root } P \text{ and children } Q \text{ and } J. \end{array} \\ & = (-1)^{\sigma_{I,J} + \sigma_{P,Q} + |P||Q| + |I||J| + |P| + 1} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 9: A tree with root } P \text{ and children } Q \text{ and } J. \end{array}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the fourth sum cancels the second. $\square$

Theorem 1. *The wheeled operad $\mathcal{ULie}$ is wheeled Koszul.*

Proof. We know that $H(\Omega^\odot(\mathcal{ULie}^i)_o) = H(\mathcal{Lie}_\infty) = \mathcal{Lie}$. Hence, we need to show that the cohomology of $C = \Omega^\odot(\mathcal{ULie}^i)_w$ equals $\mathcal{ULie}_w$.

The graphs of genus one span a subcomplex of C isomorphic to $((\mathcal{Lie}_\infty)^\odot)_w$ which, since $\mathcal{Lie}$ is stably Koszul, equals $(\mathcal{Lie}_\infty^\odot)_w$. Considering the inclusion of $(\mathcal{Lie}_\infty^\odot)_w$ into C , we see that if we show that the cohomology of $C_0 = C/(\mathcal{Lie}_\infty^\odot)_w$ equals $\mathbb{k}^\perp$, then we are done, because then the long exact sequence in cohomology reduces to

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}^\perp \longrightarrow \mathcal{Lie}_w^\odot \longrightarrow H^0(C) \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$H(C) = \frac{\mathcal{Lie}_w^\odot}{\text{Diagram 10: A loop with a tail}} = \mathcal{ULie}_w.$$

Now, the subcomplex of C_0 consisting of decorated trees without root leg whose root vertex has only one incoming leg is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Lie}_\infty[1]$ and the quotient is isomorphic to $\overline{\mathcal{Lie}_\infty}[2]$. Considering the long exact sequence in cohomology induced by the inclusion of this subcomplex into C_0 , that is

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{-2}(C_0) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{Lie}_\infty}[2] \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}^\perp \oplus \overline{\mathcal{Lie}_\infty}[1] \longrightarrow H^{-1}(C_0) \longrightarrow 0,$$

we conclude that $H(C_0) = \mathbb{k}^\perp$. $\square$

Corollary 1. *The minimal resolution $\mathcal{ULie}_\infty$ equals $\Omega^\odot(\mathcal{ULie}^i)$ as given in Proposition 3.*

Remark. The proof that $H(C_0) = \mathbb{k}^\perp$ is essentially the same as that of the claim in the proof of Theorem 4.1.1 in [Mer07]. As a second remark we note that since $\mathcal{ULie}^! = \text{Com}^\odot$, the above proof gives a new and shorter proof of the theorem that $\text{Com}^\odot$ is wheeled Koszul proven in [MMS07].

Definition. A structure of *unimodular L_∞ -algebra* on a finite dimensional dg vector space V is a representation of $\mathcal{ULie}_\infty$ in V . By the corollary above, this is equivalent with a finite dimensional dg vector space V together with two families of morphisms

$$\{\ell_n : \wedge^n V \longrightarrow V\}_{n=2}^\infty$$

with $|\ell_n| = 2 - n$ and

$$\{q_n : \wedge^n V \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}\}_{n=1}^\infty$$

with $|q_n| = -n$, satisfying the equations

$$\partial \ell_n = \sum_{I \sqcup J = [n]} (-1)^{\sigma_{I,J} + |I||J|} \ell_{|I|+1}(\text{id} \otimes \cdots \otimes \text{id} \otimes \ell_{|J|}) \sigma_{I,J}$$

and

$$\partial q_n = \sum_{I \sqcup J = [n]} (-1)^{\sigma_{I,J} + |I||J|} q_{|I|+1}(\text{id} \otimes \cdots \otimes \text{id} \otimes \ell_{|J|}) \sigma_{I,J} + \text{tr}_{n+1} \ell_{n+1}.$$

4. TRANSFER OF STRUCTURE

Assume we have a diagram

$$h \circlearrowleft V \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{g} \\ \xleftarrow{f} \end{matrix} U$$

where V is a unimodular L_∞ -algebra, U is a finite dimensional dg vector space and h is a cochain homotopy between fg and id_V , i.e. a degree -1 map satisfying

$$fg - \text{id}_V = d_V h + h d_V.$$

In this situation we give, explicitly, an induced structure of unimodular L_∞ -algebra on U .

To handle signs we apply the parity change functor to $\mathcal{ULie}_\infty$, $\Pi \mathcal{ULie}_\infty$ is the free wheeled operad on symmetric generators

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} 1 \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} n \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{matrix} \right\}_{n=2}^\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{ \begin{matrix} 1 \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} n \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{matrix} \right\}_{n=1}^\infty,$$

of degrees 1 and 0 respectively. The differential is given on generators by

$$(1) \quad \partial \begin{matrix} 1 \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} n \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{matrix} = - \sum_{\substack{I \sqcup J = [n] \\ 1 \leq |I| \leq n-2}} \begin{matrix} 1 \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} I \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} J \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{matrix},$$

$$(2) \quad \partial \begin{matrix} 1 \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} n \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{matrix} = \sum_{\substack{I \sqcup J = [n] \\ 0 \leq |I| \leq n-2}} \begin{matrix} 1 \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} I \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} J \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{matrix} + \begin{matrix} 1 \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} n \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \downarrow \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} \text{loop} \end{matrix}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{G}_1$ denote the class of directed trees with root leg, $\mathfrak{G}_0$ the class of directed trees without root leg and $\mathfrak{G}_1^\odot$ the class of graphs obtained by grafting the root leg of a tree to one of its ingoing legs. Let $\mathfrak{G}_1(n)$ denote the subclass of trees with n ingoing legs and similarly for $\mathfrak{G}_0(n)$ and $\mathfrak{G}_1^\odot(n)$. For any tree $G \in \mathfrak{G}_1(n)$ we define a map $\theta_G : V[1]^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow V[1]$ by decorating the root vertex v with $\tilde{\ell}_{|in(v)|}$ and every other vertex v with $h \tilde{\ell}_{|in(v)|}$. For any tree $G \in \mathfrak{G}_0(n)$ we define a morphism $\theta_G : V[1]^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}$ by decorating the root vertex v with $\tilde{q}_{|in(v)|}$ and every other vertex v with

$h\tilde{\ell}_{|in(v)|}$. For a graph $G \in \mathfrak{G}_1^\circ(n)$, we define $\theta_G : V[1]^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}$ by decorating all vertices v with $h\tilde{\ell}_{|in(v)|}$. Next we define a morphism $\Pi\mathcal{UL}ie_\infty \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{U[1]}^\circ$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \swarrow \downarrow \searrow \\ \end{array}^n &\mapsto \sum_{G \in \mathfrak{G}_1(n)} g\theta_G f^{\otimes n}, \\ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \swarrow \downarrow \searrow \\ \end{array}^n &\mapsto \sum_{G \in (\mathfrak{G}_0 \sqcup \mathfrak{G}_1^\circ)(n)} \theta_G f^{\otimes n}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2. *The above morphism $\Pi\mathcal{UL}ie_\infty \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{U[1]}^\circ$ is a morphism of dg wheeled operads and hence defines a unimodular L_∞ -algebra structure on U .*

Proof. For a graph G and an edge $e \in \mathbf{e}(G)$, let $\theta_{G,e}^\circ$ denote the morphism that differs from θ_G in the respect that the vertex v from which e starts is decorated with $fg\tilde{\ell}_{|in(v)|}$ instead of $h\tilde{\ell}_{|in(v)|}$. For the equality (1) we need to show that

$$\sum_{G \in \mathfrak{G}_1(n)} \partial g\theta_G f^{\otimes n} = \sum_{G \in \mathfrak{G}_1(n)} dg\theta_G f^{\otimes n} + g\theta_G f^{\otimes n} d_\otimes$$

equals

$$- \sum_{\substack{G_1 \in \mathfrak{G}_1(i+1) \\ G_2 \in \mathfrak{G}_1(j) \\ n=i+j}} g\theta_{G_1}(f \otimes \cdots \otimes f \otimes fg\theta_{G_2}(f \otimes \cdots \otimes f)) = - \sum_{G \in \mathfrak{G}_1(n)} \sum_{e \in \mathbf{e}(G)} g\theta_{G,e}^\circ f^{\otimes n}.$$

Since f and g commute with differentials, this would follow, by considering one tree at a time, from

$$\theta_G d_\otimes = -d\theta_G + \sum_{e \in \mathbf{e}(G)} (-\theta_{G,e}^\circ + \theta_{G,e}^{\text{id}}) - \sum_{\substack{(G',e') \\ G' \in \mathfrak{G}_1(n) \\ G'/e'=G}} \theta_{G',e'}^{\text{id}}.$$

This in turn follows by commuting the differential in $\theta_G d_\otimes$ from the right to the left. Considering one vertex of G at a time, we note that for a non-root vertex

$$h\tilde{\ell}_k d_\otimes = -hd\tilde{\ell}_k - \sum h\tilde{\ell}_{i+1}(\text{id} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{\ell}_j)\sigma = dh\tilde{\ell}_k - fg\tilde{\ell}_k + \tilde{\ell}_k - \sum h\tilde{\ell}_{i+1}(\text{id} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{\ell}_j)\sigma,$$

while for the root vertex

$$\tilde{\ell}_k d_\otimes = -d\tilde{\ell}_k - \sum \tilde{\ell}_{i+1}(\text{id} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{\ell}_j)\sigma.$$

For the equality (2) we need to show that

$$\sum_{G \in (\mathfrak{G}_0 \sqcup \mathfrak{G}_1^\circ)(n)} \partial \theta_G f^{\otimes n} = - \sum_{G \in (\mathfrak{G}_0 \sqcup \mathfrak{G}_1^\circ)(n)} \theta_G f^{\otimes n} d_\otimes$$

equals

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{G_1 \in (\mathfrak{G}_0 \sqcup \mathfrak{G}_1^\circ)(i+1) \\ G_2 \in \mathfrak{G}_1(j) \\ n=i+j}} \theta_{G_1}(f \otimes \cdots \otimes f \otimes fg\theta_{G_2}(f \otimes \cdots \otimes f)) + \sum_{G \in \mathfrak{G}_1(n+1)} \text{tr}_{n+1}(g\theta_G(f \otimes \cdots \otimes f)) \\ &= \left(\sum_{G \in \mathfrak{G}_0(n)} \sum_{e \in \mathbf{e}(G)} + \sum_{G \in \mathfrak{G}_1^\circ(n)} \sum_{e \in \mathbf{e}_{\text{nc}}(G)} + \sum_{G \in \mathfrak{G}_1^\circ(n)} \sum_{e \in \mathbf{e}_c(G)} \right) \theta_{G,e}^\circ f^{\otimes n} \\ &= \sum_{G \in (\mathfrak{G}_0 \sqcup \mathfrak{G}_1^\circ)(n)} \sum_{e \in \mathbf{e}(G)} \theta_{G,e}^\circ f^{\otimes n}. \end{aligned}$$

This would follow from

$$-\theta_G d_\otimes = \sum_{e \in \mathbf{e}(G)} (\theta_{G,e}^\circ - \theta_{G,e}^{\text{id}}) + \sum_{\substack{(G',e') \\ G' \in (\mathfrak{G}_0 \sqcup \mathfrak{G}_1^\circ)(n) \\ G'/e'=G}} \theta_{G',e'}^{\text{id}}$$

which again follows from commuting the differential from right to left. Considering one vertex at a time, we have for a non-root, non-cyclic vertex that

$$-h\tilde{\ell}_k d_\otimes = -dh\tilde{\ell}_k + fg\tilde{\ell}_k - \tilde{\ell}_k + \sum h\tilde{\ell}_{i+1}(\text{id} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{\ell}_j)\sigma.$$

For the root vertex of a $G \in \mathfrak{G}_0(n)$ we have

$$-\tilde{q}_k d_\otimes = \sum \tilde{q}_{i+1}(\text{id} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{\ell}_j)\sigma + \text{tr}_{k+1}(\tilde{\ell}_{k+1}).$$

Finally, for a cyclic vertex v_k of a $G \in \mathfrak{G}_1^\circ(n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & -h\tilde{\ell}_k((d \otimes \text{id} \otimes \cdots \otimes \text{id}) + \cdots + (\text{id} \otimes \cdots \otimes d \otimes \text{id})) \\ & = h\tilde{\ell}_k(\text{id} \otimes \cdots \otimes \text{id} \otimes d) + h d\tilde{\ell}_k + \sum h\tilde{\ell}_{i+1}(\text{id} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{\ell}_j)\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

The first term gives a term $dh\tilde{\ell}_{k'}$ for the previous vertex $v_{k'}$ in the cycle and like-wise, the above equality for the next vertex in the cycle gives a term $dh\tilde{\ell}_k$ to our expression for v_k . Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & h d\tilde{\ell}_k + \sum h\tilde{\ell}_{i+1}(\text{id} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{\ell}_j)\sigma + dh\tilde{\ell}_k \\ & = fg\tilde{\ell}_k - \tilde{\ell}_k + \sum h\tilde{\ell}_{i+1}(\text{id} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{\ell}_j)\sigma + dh\tilde{\ell}_k. \end{aligned}$$

□

Example. As an illustration of the proof given above, we will show the required equality (2) in the case $n = 2$ for the induced structure graphically. The following is a legend for our graphical notations:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \tilde{q}_k = \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad \quad \quad k \end{array} & \tilde{\ell}_k = \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad \quad \quad k \end{array} \\ h = \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \text{I} \end{array} & d = \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \text{I} \end{array} \\ fg = \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \text{I} \end{array} & \end{array}$$

Ignoring the f :s decorating the leaves, the left hand side of (2) for the induced structure is

$$\partial \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{I} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{I} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{I} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{I} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{I} \end{array} \right),$$

while the right hand side is

$$\begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{I} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{I} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{I} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{I} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{I} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{I} \end{array}.$$

We show the computation of the two first terms of the left hand side,

$$\partial \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{I} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{I} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{I} \end{array},$$

$$\partial \text{ (Y-junction) } = - \text{ (Y-junction with top dot) } - \text{ (Y-junction with bottom dot) } = \text{ (Y-junction with both dots) } = - \text{ (Y-junction with top dot) } + \text{ (Y-junction with bottom dot) } - \text{ (Y-junction) } = \text{ (loop with Y-junction) } + \text{ (Y-junction with top dot) } - \text{ (Y-junction with bottom dot) }.$$

Proceeding in the same manner with the remaining terms we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \text{ (loop with Y-junction) } &= \text{ (loop with Y-junction and top dot) } - \text{ (loop with Y-junction and bottom dot) } + \text{ (loop with Y-junction and both dots) } - \text{ (loop with Y-junction) }, \\ \partial \text{ (loop with Y-junction and top dot) } &= \text{ (loop with Y-junction and top dot) } - \text{ (loop with Y-junction and bottom dot) } + \text{ (loop with Y-junction and both dots) } - \text{ (loop with Y-junction and top dot) }, \\ \partial \text{ (loop with Y-junction and bottom dot) } &= \text{ (loop with Y-junction and top dot) } - \text{ (loop with Y-junction and bottom dot) } + \text{ (loop with Y-junction and both dots) } + \text{ (loop with Y-junction and top dot) } + \text{ (loop with Y-junction and bottom dot) }, \end{aligned}$$

which shows the wanted equality.

5. DIFFERENTIAL GEOMETRIC INTERPRETATION

Given a dg vector space $\mathfrak{g}$, it is well-known that structures of L_∞ -algebra on $\mathfrak{g}$ are in one-to-one correspondence to degree 1 square-zero coderivations of the cofree graded cocommutative coalgebra without counit on $\mathfrak{g}[1]$. We consider its dual, the completed free graded commutative algebra without unit on $\mathfrak{g}[1]^*$, as the ideal of the basepoint in the ring of functions $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}[1]} = \prod_{n \geq 0} \odot^n \mathfrak{g}[1]^*$ on the formal graded pointed manifold $(\mathfrak{g}[1], 0)$. We denote $\text{Der } \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}[1]}$ by $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{g}[1]}$ and refer to its elements as vector fields. A degree 1 coderivation of $\odot^{\geq 1} \mathfrak{g}[1]$ corresponds, by dualizing, to a degree 1 derivation of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}[1]}$ which vanish at 0. Hence, an L_∞ -structure on $\mathfrak{g}$ is given by a vector field $Q \in \mathcal{T}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}[1]}$ such that $Q^2 = 0$ and $Q|_0 = 0$. Such vector fields are called homological.

Let now $(\mathfrak{g}, \{\ell_n\}, \{q_n\})$ be a unimodular L_∞ -algebra. The collection $\{\tilde{q}_n\}$ assemble into a morphism $\odot^{\geq 1} \mathfrak{g}[1] \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$. On the dual side, this determines a degree zero element f in the ideal of 0 in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}[1]}$. We will want to express the equations satisfied by $\{\ell_n\}$ and $\{q_n\}$ in terms of Q and f . This we will do using the divergence of the canonical volume form on $\mathfrak{g}[1]$ along Q . The volume forms are elements of the Berezinian of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{g}[1]}$ which we now describe. For reference, see [BL77b, BL77a, Lei80, Man97].

Let A be a graded ring and M a free A -module. If we choose a basis $\{e_\alpha\}$ of M such that $|e_\alpha|$ is even for $1 \leq \alpha \leq p$ and odd for $p+1 \leq \alpha \leq n$, then the matrix of an even map $f : M \rightarrow M$ is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$$

where all entries in A and in D are even, while all entries in B and in C are odd. One can show that such a map is invertible if and only if A and D are both invertible, and moreover that, in this case, the Berezinian,

$$\text{Ber}(f) = \frac{\det(A - BD^{-1}C)}{\det D},$$

is independent of the choice of basis. For any free A -module M , $\text{Ber } M$ is a free rank one A -module. Every choice of basis $\{e_\alpha\}$ of M determines a basis element Δ_x and in different bases of M these are related by the Berezinian of the change of basis.

Choosing a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$, say $\{e_\alpha\}$, determines a basis $\{x^\alpha\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}[1]^*$, i.e. a local coordinate system on the manifold $\mathfrak{g}[1]$. Here x^α is the basis element dual to $x_\alpha = s^{-1}e_\alpha$. This gives an isomorphism of algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}[1]} \cong \mathbb{k}[[x^\alpha]]$ and of free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}[1]}$ -modules $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{g}[1]} \cong \oplus_\alpha \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}[1]} \cdot \partial_\alpha$, where $\partial_\alpha = \partial/\partial x^\alpha$. Basis elements of $\text{Ber } \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{g}[1]}$ are called volume forms on $\mathfrak{g}[1]$. Hence, choosing local coordinates on $\mathfrak{g}[1]$ determines a constant volume form Δ_x .

There is a well-defined action of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{g}[1]}$ on $\text{Ber } \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{g}[1]}$, written $L_V(\rho)$ for $V \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{g}[1]}$ and $\rho \in \text{Ber } \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{g}[1]}$, determined, in a set of coordinates $\{x^\alpha\}$, by the conditions

- i) $L_V(g\rho) = V(g)\rho + (-1)^{|V||g|}gL_V(\rho)$,
- ii) $L_{gV}(\rho) = (-1)^{|g||V|}L_V(g\rho)$ and
- iii) $L_{\partial_\alpha}(\Delta_x) = 0$ for all α ,

see [Lei80].

The *divergence* of a vector field V is defined by $L_V(\rho) = \text{div}_\rho V \cdot \rho$. In coordinates $\{x^\alpha\}$, if $V = V^\alpha \partial_\alpha$ and $\rho = g\Delta_x$, then

$$\begin{aligned} L_V(\rho) &= L_{V^\alpha \partial_\alpha}(g\Delta_x) = (-1)^{|x^\alpha||V^\alpha|}L_{\partial_\alpha}(V^\alpha g\Delta_x) = (-1)^{|x^\alpha||V^\alpha|}\partial_\alpha(V^\alpha g)\Delta_x \\ &= \left((-1)^{|x^\alpha||V^\alpha|}\partial_\alpha V^\alpha g + V^\alpha \partial_\alpha g\right)\Delta_x = \left((-1)^{|x^\alpha||V^\alpha|}\partial_\alpha V^\alpha + V^\alpha \partial_\alpha g g^{-1}\right)\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\text{div}_\rho V = (-1)^{|x^\alpha||V^\alpha|}\partial_\alpha V^\alpha + V^\alpha \partial_\alpha g g^{-1}.$$

The fact that, for a unimodular L_∞ -algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \{\ell_n\}, \{q_n\})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_{n+1} \tilde{\ell}_{n+1} &= \text{tr}_{n+1} \tilde{\ell}_{(\beta_1 \dots \beta_{n+1})}^\alpha x_\alpha x^{\beta_1} \dots x^{\beta_{n+1}} \\ &= (-1)^{|x^{\beta_{n+1}}|(|\tilde{\ell}_{n+1}| - |x^{\beta_{n+1}}|)} \tilde{\ell}_{(\beta_1 \dots \beta_{n+1})}^\alpha x^{\beta_{n+1}} x_\alpha x^{\beta_1} \dots x^{\beta_n} = \tilde{\ell}_{(\beta_1 \dots \beta_n)\alpha}^\alpha x^{\beta_1} \dots x^{\beta_n} \end{aligned}$$

equals

$$- \sum_{i+j=n} \tilde{q}_{i+1}(1 \text{ id} \otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{\ell}_j) = - \sum \tilde{q}_{(\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_i|\alpha|} \tilde{\ell}_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_j}^\alpha x^{\gamma_1} \dots x^{\gamma_i} x^\alpha x^{\beta_1} \dots x^{\beta_j}$$

translates, for the associated vector field Q and function f , into the equality

$$\text{div}_{\Delta_x} Q + Q(f) = 0.$$

Now, let f be any degree zero function and $\rho = g\Delta_x$ a volume form. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div}_{ef\rho} V &= (-1)^{|x^\alpha||V^\alpha|}\partial_\alpha V^\alpha + V^\alpha \partial_\alpha (e^f g) g^{-1} e^{-f} = (-1)^{|x^\alpha||V^\alpha|}\partial_\alpha V^\alpha + V^\alpha \partial_\alpha f + V^\alpha \partial_\alpha g g^{-1} \\ &= \text{div}_\rho V + V(f). \end{aligned}$$

From now on we let $\rho_f = e^f \Delta_x$, $\text{div} = \text{div}_{\Delta_x}$ and $\text{div}_f = \text{div}_{\rho_f}$. It follows from the above that $L_Q(\rho_f) = \text{div}_f Q \cdot \rho_f = (\text{div} Q + Q(f))\rho_f$. Thus, (Q, f) is a unimodular L_∞ -structure if and only if $L_Q(\rho_f) = 0$, i.e. if and only if ρ_f is Q -invariant.

6. THE DEFORMATION COMPLEX

The graded vector space $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{g}[1]}$ is a graded Lie algebra with commutator bracket. Concerning $\text{div}_\rho : \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{g}[1]} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}[1]}$ we have the following well-known lemma.

Lemma 2. *The equality*

$$\operatorname{div}_\rho[V_1, V_2] = V_1(\operatorname{div}_\rho V_2) - (-1)^{|V_1||V_2|} V_2(\operatorname{div}_\rho V_1)$$

holds.

Given an L_∞ -algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, Q)$, Q is a differential on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}[1]}$ and $[Q, -]$ is a differential on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{g}[1]}$.

Lemma 3. *If $(\mathfrak{g}, Q, f)$ is a unimodular L_∞ -algebra, then*

$$\operatorname{div}_f : \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{g}[1]} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}[1]}$$

is a morphism of dg vector spaces.

Proof. By Lemma 2 and the equality $\operatorname{div}_f Q = 0$ we have

$$\operatorname{div}_f([Q, V]) = Q(\operatorname{div}_f V) - (-1)^{|V|} V(\operatorname{div}_f Q) = Q(\operatorname{div}_f V).$$

□

Definition. Given a unimodular L_∞ -algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, Q, f)$, its *deformation complex* is defined as

$$C(\mathfrak{g}, Q, f) = \operatorname{cone}(\operatorname{div}_f)[-1],$$

that is, $C(\mathfrak{g}, Q, f) = \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{g}[1]} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}[1]}[-1]$ with differential

$$\partial(V + sg) = [Q, V] - sQ(g) + s\operatorname{div}_f V.$$

We can extend the Lie bracket on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{g}[1]}$ to all of $C(\mathfrak{g}, Q, f)$ by letting $[V, sg] = (-1)^{|V|} sV(g)$ and $[sg_1, sg_2] = 0$. With this convention, the differential of the deformation complex satisfies

$$\partial(V + sg) = [Q, V] - sQ(g) + s\operatorname{div}_f V = s\operatorname{div}_f V + [Q, V] + [Q, sg] = s\operatorname{div}_f V + [Q, V + g],$$

i.e.

$$\partial = s\operatorname{div}_f + [Q, -].$$

From Lemma 2 follows that $s\operatorname{div}_f$ is a degree 1 derivation of the bracket on $C(\mathfrak{g}, Q, f)$. Since $[Q, -]$ is also a degree 1 derivation, it follows that the deformation complex is a dg Lie algebra. The definition of the deformation complex is motivated by the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, Q, f)$ be a unimodular L_∞ -algebra. Pairs (V, g) such that $(\mathfrak{g}, Q + V, f + g)$ is again a unimodular L_∞ -algebra are in one-to-one correspondence with Maurer-Cartan elements in $C(\mathfrak{g}, Q, f)$.*

Proof. A Maurer-Cartan element $V - sg$ of $C(\mathfrak{g}, Q, f)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(V - sg) + \frac{1}{2}[V - sg, V - sg] &= s\operatorname{div}_f V + [Q, V] - [Q, sg] + V^2 - [V, sg] \\ &= QV + VQ + V^2 + s(\operatorname{div}_f V + Q(g) + V(g)) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} QV + VQ + V^2 &= 0, \\ \operatorname{div}_f V + (Q + V)(g) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $(Q + V, f + g)$ is a unimodular L_∞ -structure if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} (Q + V)^2 &= Q^2 + QV + VQ + V^2 = QV + VQ + V^2 = 0, \\ \operatorname{div}_{f+g}(V + Q) &= \operatorname{div}_f Q + \operatorname{div}_f V + (V + Q)(g) = \operatorname{div}_f V + (V + Q)(g) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

7. THE CHARACTERISTIC CLASS

We briefly address the question of when a given L_∞ -algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, Q)$ can be extended to a unimodular L_∞ -algebra. Lemma 2 implies that

$$Q(\operatorname{div} Q) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}([Q, Q]) = 0,$$

so that $\operatorname{div} Q$ determines a cohomology class $[\operatorname{div} Q] \in H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}[1]}, Q)$.

Definition. Given an L_∞ -algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, Q)$, the cohomology class $[\operatorname{div} Q]$ is called its *characteristic class*.

Proposition 2. *An L_∞ -algebra can be extended to a unimodular L_∞ -algebra if and only if its characteristic class vanishes.*

Proof. The characteristic class vanishes if and only if $\operatorname{div} Q = Q(-f)$ for some f . If so, then $\operatorname{div}_f Q = \operatorname{div} Q + Q(f) = 0$ so that $(\mathfrak{g}, Q, f)$ is unimodular L_∞ . $\square$

APPENDIX A. UNIMODULAR ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS AND CHARACTERISTIC CLASSES

A.1. Unimodular associative algebras.

An (associative) algebra (A, μ) is said to be unimodular if, for all $x \in A$, $\operatorname{tr} \mu(x, -) = \operatorname{tr} \mu(-, x) = 0$. Unimodular algebras are algebras over the wheeled operad

$$\mathcal{U}Ass = \frac{\mathcal{F}^\odot \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 2 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} \right)}{\left(\begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array} \right)},$$

and since $\mathcal{U}Ass^! = Ass^\odot$ is wheeled Koszul [MMS07], we can construct $\mathcal{U}Ass_\infty$ explicitly.

Proposition 3. *The minimal resolution of $\mathcal{U}Ass$ is a free wheeled operad on generators*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} n \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} \right\}_{n \geq 2} \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{c} m \quad n \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} \right\}_{m+n \geq 1}$$

of degrees $2-n$ and $-m-n$ respectively. The second type of generator satisfies the following cyclic symmetry

$$(-1)^{m+1} \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} \zeta = (-1)^{n+1} \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} \xi = \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array},$$

where $\zeta = (1 \ 2 \ \dots \ m)$ and $\xi = (m+1 \ m+2 \ \dots \ m+n)$. The differential is defined by

$$\partial \begin{array}{c} n \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} = \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-j} (-1)^{ij+i+j+1+n} \begin{array}{c} j \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} &= \sum_{i=2}^m \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{i+m+n+1} \begin{array}{c} i \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} ((-1)^{m+1} \zeta)^j + \sum_{i=2}^n \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{im+n} \begin{array}{c} i \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} ((-1)^{n+1} \xi)^j \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} ((-1)^{m+1} \zeta)^i ((-1)^{n+1} \xi)^j. \end{aligned}$$

A.2. Characteristic classes.

An A_∞ -algebra, i.e. a representation of $\mathcal{A}ss_\infty$ in a vector space V , corresponds to a degree one element

$$\Gamma = \{\Gamma_n : V[1]^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow V[1]\}_{n \geq 1}$$

in the weight graded Lie algebra

$$C(V, V) = \{C_n^p(V, V) = \text{Hom}^p(V[1]^{\otimes n}, V[1])\}_{n \geq 1}$$

equipped with the Gerstenhaber bracket, such that $[\Gamma, \Gamma] = 0$. Consider next the right $C(V, V)$ -module $\text{Cyc}(V, \mathbb{k})$, defined as

$$\{\text{Cyc}_{m,n}^p(V, \mathbb{k}) = \text{Hom}^p((V[1]^{\otimes m})_{C_m} \otimes (V[1]^{\otimes n})_{C_n}, \mathbb{k})\}_{m+n \geq 1}.$$

The module structure induces a Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g} = C(V, V) \oplus \text{Cyc}(V, \mathbb{k})$ and the fact that $[\Gamma, \Gamma] = 0$ implies that $\partial_\Gamma = [\Gamma, -]$ is a differential on $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\text{Cyc}(V, \mathbb{k})$ is a subcomplex. Moreover, Γ determines an element $\Gamma^\odot$ in $\text{Cyc}^1(V, \mathbb{k})$ as follows,

$$\Gamma_{m,n}^\odot = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}_{m+1}(\Gamma_{m+n+1})((-1)^{m+1}\zeta)^i((-1)^{n+1}\xi)^j.$$

Here, ζ and ξ are as above.

Proposition 4. *For any A_∞ -algebra (V, Γ) we have $\partial_\Gamma \Gamma^\odot = 0$.*

Proof. For $m, n \geq 1$ this is Proposition-Definition 6.7.2 in [MMS07]. For $m + n = 1$ the proof is exactly the same, i.e. expand the expressions $\partial \text{tr}_1(\Gamma_n)$ and $\partial \text{tr}_n(\Gamma_n)$ and skew symmetrize cyclically. $\square$

Hence Γ determines a class $[\Gamma^\odot]$ in $H^1(\text{Cyc}(V, \mathbb{k}), \partial_\Gamma)$ called the *unimodular cyclic characteristic class*. The following proposition is proven in exactly the same way as Theorem 6.7.3 in [MMS07].

Proposition 5. *A structure of A_∞ -algebra on V can be extended to a structure of unimodular A_∞ -algebra if and only if the unimodular cyclic characteristic class vanishes.*

REFERENCES

- [BL77a] I. N. Bernstein and D. A. Leites, *Integral forms and the Stokes formula on supermanifolds*, Functional analysis and its applications **11** (1977), no. 1, 45–47.
- [BL77b] ———, *Integration of differential forms on supermanifolds*, Functional analysis and its applications **11** (1977), no. 3, 219–221.
- [Lei80] D. A. Leites, *Introduction to the theory of supermanifolds*, Russian Mathematical Surveys (translation of Uspekhi Matematicheskikh Nauk) **35** (1980), no. 1, 1–64.
- [Man97] Y. I. Manin, *Gauge Field Theory and Complex Geometry*, 2 ed., Springer, 1997.
- [Mer07] S. A. Merkulov, *Prop profile of deformation quantization and graph complexes with loops and wheels*, arXiv:math/0412257v7, Feb 2007.
- [MMS07] M. Markl, S. A. Merkulov, and S. Shadrin, *Wheeled props, graph complexes and the master equation*, arXiv:math/0610683v3, Jul 2007.
- [Mn 06] P. Mn v, *Notes on simplicial BF theory*, arXiv:hep-th/0610326v2, Oct 2006.
- [Val07] B. Vallette, *A Koszul duality for props*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), 4865–4943.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STOCKHOLM UNIVERSITY, 106 91 STOCKHOLM

E-mail address: johang@math.su.se